4	* - A <sub>I</sub>	•		CIA-RDP84B008 NTERNAL USE ONL	R000400070	042-3 EGISTRY 0+m-1	
	*	Thi	is Notice Expire	s 1 February 1982		0+m-1	
	,						STAT
	MANAGEMENT				25 3	lune 1981	OIVi
	,	4			4		
			LESSONS OF				STAT
	4		•				
STAT	appearing in of Messrs. Informed of the reported them given to him directly to the unfortunately and their appostill in CIA of these recent at a time when improving our events of long and I seek your effected by acted properly will address	The New York  The New York  The New York  The New York  The New Are Office  The Arent ability  The Arent Arent ability  The Arent	Times magazine two former by the CIA imme ncy. This same e of Security at s renewed public will focus atten y to trade on th s is especially t such activitie ting off the def the national int ly divert us fro l commitment, to case, do n Ty when it learn on of continued	lying the major to concerning the concerning the concerning the concerning the concerning after and former employees, the time, also not the action on the action on the action on the action of the contacts with so in view of important so that we erest, criticism mour essential assure that the cot recur. I am seed of the concerning we can to see the concerning the concer	riminal act The FBI other forme pursuant t reported th ons of form h former co plied alleg aking place e can conce of this ki job. I am se kinds or satisfied to sconduct in	was first was first er employee co advice mese matters case mer employees olleagues gations in e. Certainly, entrate on ind based on resolved, f activities, that the Agency tivities. We on the same way.	STAT
STAT	reputation of actions, while and in our po	the many. e we are emp st-Agency em it is useful	It is incumbent loyed at the Age ployment, which to recall the c	f a few to under upon us, therefo ency, in our deal may reflect adve comments and caut set forth bel	re, to be a ings with rsely on t ions of my	alert to avoid former colleagues he Agency. In	
	result o with for real pro The Agen	f developmen mer employee blems that c cy has been	ts growing out o s. This should an occasionally characterized by	re asked to leave of their unoffici serve as a remin arise in dealing strong bonds be significant chan	al relation der of the s with for tween its	nships very mer employees. employees,	

ADMINISTRATIVE - INTERNAL USE ONLY

Approved For Release 2004/05/05 : CIA-RDP84B00890R000400070042-3

brought about by retirement or resignation. It is essential that current Agency employees realize that resignees and retirees do indeed acquiré a new status which precludes the access and privileges associated with active service in the Agency. The vast majority of former employees are well aware of their new status and scrupulously avoid any situations which might cause

## Approved February Release 2004/05/05: CIA-RDP84B00889R000400070042-3 ADMINISTRATIVE - INTERNAL USE ONLY

STAT 25 June 1981

MANAGEMENT

problems for their former colleagues. Unfortunately, a few former employees are apparently willing, perhaps without realizing the potential consequences, to exploit current employees in order to obtain unjustified personal or professional advantages for themselves.

- "2. Employees should be guided by the basic fact that a former employee with no active official connection with the Agency is not authorized continuing access to classified information as a result of his former association. Moreover, former employees are entitled to no more assistance or service from the Agency than are any other citizens of our country. For example, should a former employee embark upon a second career which places him in a position of being a potential vendor of goods or services to the Agency, he must be treated the same as and must compete with any other vendor offering comparable goods or services. Given the vast number and types of situations that can arise in dealings with former employees, it is not possible to cite all the examples here. Agency employees must exercise careful judgment at all times to ensure that all unofficial relationships with former employees are proper and above reproach. . . . There are a few basic questions which employees should ask of themselves when evaluating their unofficial relationships with former employees. For example:
  - "a. Am I being asked to provide Agency information or other assistance for nonofficial purposes?
  - "b. Am I being asked to participate in some non-Agency activity to convey the impression that the Agency is officially involved?
  - "c. Would I conduct this relationship in the same manner if the other party had not been previously associated with the Agency?
  - "d. Am I becoming involved in an activity which may involve or create the appearance of a conflict of interest with my official Agency responsibilities?
  - "3. Employees preparing for a second career which will draw upon skills and contacts developed while with the Agency must ask themselves the same kinds of questions. Upon retirement, they must be fully reconciled to the fact that their former Agency status must not be traded upon for preferential treatment in dealings with CIA.
  - "4. Employees who become involved in relationships with former employees about which they have questions should seek guidance promptly from their supervisors. If a supervisor cannot answer the question with confidence, the employee should be referred to the more specialized offices. The

MANAGEMENT

25	June	1981	

Operations and Management Law Division\* of the Office of General Counsel, the Employee Activity Branch of the Office of Security, or the Office of the Inspector General should be consulted for assistance in this regard.

- "5. All of us value continuing relationships with our former colleagues, and it is not the intent of this notice to discourage such associations. Rather, this notice is intended to point out that such associations must be conducted in a manner which avoids actual or apparent improprieties -- improprieties which can cause serious harm both to the individuals involved and to the Agency. I urge your personal and thoughtful attention to this matter."
- 3. Although the activities now being reported in the press occurred several years ago, I urge you to continue to be sensitive to these cautions and request that you immediately bring to the attention of the Inspector General any information which would indicate that inappropriate actions are being carried out by any Agency employee or that any former employee may be abusing his or her previous relationship with the Agency. With your help, I am confident that we can put this matter behind us, prevent activities of this kind from recurring, and continue to demonstrate the professionalism, skill, and dedication which are the hallmarks of Agency service.

William J. Casey Director of Central Intelligence

DISTRIBUITON: ALL EMPLOYEES (1-6)

\* Current Title - Administrative Law Division

Distribution:

Orig - RCD via P&PD

1 - RCD (w/cys of Orig DCI signature and DDCI concurrence)

√ - DDA Registry

3

ADMINISTRATIVE - INTERNAL USE ONLY

Approved For Release 2004/05/05 : CIA-RDP84B00890R000400070042-3

STAT

This notice 1 4eb 82

**MANAGEMENT** 

criminal activities of Messrs.

kinds of activities, reflected by the

learned of the

ни		- "
_  <del></del>	June	1981

two former Agency

case do not recur.

\_\_ activities. We will address any allegation

STAT

STAT

LESSONS	0F			

article appearing in The New York Times magazine concerning the

directly to the FBI. This renewed publicity about the

view of implied allegations in these recent articles that such

employees. The FBI was first informed of these matters by the CIA immediately after another former employee reported them to this Agency. This same former employee, pursuant to advice given to him by the Office of Security at the time, also reported these matters

case will unfortunately once again focus attention on the actions of former employees and their apparent ability to trade on their contacts with former colleagues still in CIA employ. This is especially so in

activities may still be taking place. Certainly, at a time when we? are getting off the defensive so that we can concentrate on improving our support of the national interest, criticism of this kind based on events of long ago can only divert us from our essential job. I am resolved, and I seek your individual commitment, to assure that these

I am satisfied that the Agency acted properly and forcibly when it?

of continued or additional misconduct in the same way. Now, we must see that all of us do everything we can to see that activities of this

I have reviewed the events underlying the major two-part

STAT

STAT

STAT

kind do not recur. We must not permit the actions of a few to undermine the \* efforts and reputation of the many. It is incumbent upon us, therefore, to be alert to avoid actions, while we are employed at the Agency, in our dealings with former colleagues and in our post-Agency employment,

which may reflect adversely on the Agency. In this regard, it is useful to read again the June 1977 comments and cautions of my predecessor:

["Recently, two employees were asked to leave the Agency as a result of developments growing out of their unofficial relationships with former employees. This should serve as a

reminder of the very real problems that can occasionally arise in dealings with former employees. The Agency has been characterized by strong bonds between its employees, and these bonds often transcend the significant changes in status that are brought about by retirement or resignation. essential that current Agency employees realize that resignees and retirees do indeed acquire a new status which precludes the access and privileges associated with active service in the Agency. The vast majority of former employees are well aware of their new status and scrupulously avoid any situations which might cause problems for their former colleagues. Unfortunately, a few former employees are apparently willing, perhaps without realizing the potential consequences, to exploit current employees in order to obtain unjustified personal or professional advantages for themselves.

Q"Employees should be guided by the basic fact that a former employee with no active official connection with the Agency is not authorized continuing access to classified information as a result of his former association. former employees are entitled to no more assistance or service from the Agency than are any other citizens of our country. For example, should a former employee embark upon a second career which places him in a position of being a potential vendor of goods or services to the Agency, he must be treated the same as and must compete with any other vendor offering comparable goods or services. Given the vast number and types of situations that can arise in dealings with former employees, it is not possible to cite all the examples Agency employees must exercise careful judgment at all here. times to ensure that all unofficial relationships with former employees are proper and above reproach....There are a few basic questions which employees should ask of themselves when evaluating their unofficial relationships with former employees. For example:

- "a. Am I being asked to provide Agency information or other assistance for nonofficial purposes?
- b. Am I being asked to participate in some non-Agency activity to convey the impression that the Agency is officially involved?
- i c. Would I conduct this relationship in the same manner if the other party had not been previously associated with the Agency?
- "d. Am I becoming involved in an activity which may involve or create the appearance of a conflict of

interest with my official Agency responsibilities?

- 3. Employees preparing for a second career which will draw upon skills and contacts developed while with the Agency must ask themselves the same kinds of questions. Upon retirement, they must be fully reconciled to the fact that their former Agency status must not be traded upon for preferential treatment in dealings with CIA.
- Fill Employees who become involved in relationships with former employees about which they have questions should seek guidance promptly from their supervisors. If a supervisor cannot answer the question with confidence, the employee should be referred to the more specialized offices. The Administrative Law Division\*of the Office of General Counsel, the Employee Activity Branch of the Office of Security, or the Office of the Inspector General should be consulted for assistance in this regard.
- "5 All of us value continuing relationships with our former colleagues, and it is not the intent of this notice to discourage such associations. Rather, this notice is intended to point out that such associations must be conducted in a manner which avoids actual or apparent improprieties improprieties which can cause serious harm both to the individuals involved and to the Agency. I urge your personal and thoughtful attention to this matter."
- 3. Although the activities now being reported in the press occurred several years ago, I urge you to continue to be sensitive to these cautions and request that you immediately bring to the attention of the Inspector General any information which would indicate that inappropriate actions are being carried out by any Agency employee or that any former employee may be abusing his previous relationship with the Agency. With your help, I am confident that we can put this matter behind us, prevent activities of this kind from recurring, and continue to demonstrate the professionalism, skill, and dedication which is the hallmark of Agency service.

William J. Case

DISTRIBUTION: ALL EMPLOYEES (1-6)